

Journal of Science and Technology Research

Journal homepage: www.nipesjournals.org.ng



# Modified SDBDF Based on a Non-Zero Root of the Second Characteristics Polynomial

A. P. Ijezie<sup>a</sup>, K. O. Muka<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Pan Atlantic University, Ibeju-Lekki, Lagos, Nigeria <sup>b</sup>University of Benin, Benin City, Edo, Nigeria Email: <u>aijezie@pau.edu.ng</u>, <u>kingsley.muka@uniben.edu</u>

#### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Article history: Received 15 May, 2019 Revised 03 June 2019 Accepted 04 June 2019 Available online 13 June 2019

Keywords: Region of absolute stability, Astability, Stiffly stable, Characteristics polynomial

#### ABSTRACT

The second derivative backward differentiation formulas (SDBDF) are well known for the integration of stiff initial value problems. In this paper, a class of second derivative linear multistep method (SDLMM) is proposed based on the modification of SDBDF. The proposed class of methods which allows a root of the second characteristics polynomial to be within the unit disc but not at the origin. The class of methods is Astable for order 4 and  $A(\alpha)$ -stable up to order  $p \leq 11$ . Numerical examples are presented to show the application of the proposed methods in the integration of IVPs in ODEs. The results however showed that methods proposed are good integrators in the treatment of stiff problems.

### 1. Introduction

Numerical methods for the integration of stiff initial value problems (IVPs) (1) are required to be A-Stable. The Dahlquist's second order barrier however constrains A-Stable methods; in that the maximum order of an A-Stable method cannot exceed 2 [1-4].

$$y'(x) = f(x, y(x)), \quad y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

Circumventing the Dahlquist second order barrier constraint has led researchers to develop new methods via direct infusion of higher derivatives of the exact solutions into methods being developed (such methods are known as higher derivative methods [4]). Other research pathways of circumventing the barrier include the addition of new stage or future points. For examples of methods that circumvent the Dahlquist order barrier, see [1, 2, 4-10]. This paper focuses on second derivative linear multistep methods whose stability regions can be fine-tuned using roots of its stability polynomials.

The k-step second derivative linear multistep method (SDLMM) is of the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} f_{n+j} + h^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \gamma_{j} f_{n+j}'$$
(2)

where  $f'_{n+j} \equiv \frac{df(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}))}{dx}\Big|_{x=x_{n+j}}$ ,  $\alpha_j, \beta_j$ , and  $\gamma_j$ , are parameters to be determined. The SDLMM (2)

can be expressed in compact form as

A.P. Ijezie and K.O. Muka / Journal of Science and Technology Research 1(2) 2019 pp.128-135

$$\rho(E)y_n = h\sigma(E)f_n + h^2\gamma(E)f'_n \tag{3}$$

where 
$$\rho(E) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j E^j$$
,  $\sigma(E) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j E^j$ , and  $\pi(E) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \gamma_j E^j$ . (4)

The polynomials:  $\rho(E); \sigma(E); and \pi(E)$  in (4) are called the first, second and third characteristics polynomials. The SDLMM (2) is characterized by the polynomials  $\rho(E), \sigma(E), and \pi(E)$ . The linear difference operator L[y(x);h] associated with (2) is given by

$$L[y(\mathbf{x}_{n});\mathbf{h}] = \sum_{j=0}^{k} [\alpha_{j} y(x_{n} + jh) - h\beta_{j} y'(x_{n} + jh) - h^{2} \gamma_{j} y''(x_{n} + jh)].$$
(5)

It is assumed here that y(x) is differentiable as often as we need. Taylor expanding (5) about  $x_n$  yields

$$L[y(\mathbf{x}_n);\mathbf{h}] = C_0 y(\mathbf{x}_n) + C_1 h y'(\mathbf{x}_n) + C_2 h^2 y''(\mathbf{x}_n) + \dots + C_q h^q y^q(\mathbf{x}_n) + \dots$$
(6)

so that

$$C_{0} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j}$$

$$C_{1} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (j\alpha_{j} - \beta_{j})$$

$$C_{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\frac{j^{2}}{2!}\alpha_{j} - j\beta_{j} - \gamma_{j})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$C_{q} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\frac{j^{q}}{q!}\alpha_{j} - \frac{j^{q-1}}{(q-1)!}\beta_{j} - \frac{j^{q-2}}{(q-2)!}\gamma_{j}), \quad q = 3, 4, \cdots$$
(7)

The SDLMM (2) and its associated linear difference operator L[y(x);h] is of order p if  $C_0 = C_1 = \ldots = C_p = 0$ , and  $C_{p+1} \neq 0$ .  $C_{p+1} \neq 0$  is called the error constant. Two prominent subclasses of SDLMM (2) are second derivative multistep method (SDMM) and second derivative backward differentiation formulas (SDBDF) [4,6]. The first, second and third characteristics polynomials of SDMM and SDBDF are

$$\rho(E) = E^{k} - E^{k-1}; \ \sigma(E) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} E^{j}; \ \pi(E) = \gamma_{k} E^{k}$$
(8)

and

$$\rho(E) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j E^j; \, \sigma(E) = \beta_k E^k; \, \pi(E) = \gamma_k E^k$$
(9)

respectively. The roots of first, second and third characteristics polynomials that characterized the SDLMM (2) play critical role in the stability behavior of methods they define.

#### Definition 1

The k-step SDLMM (2) is said to be zero-stable if the roots  $t_j$ , j=1,2,...,k of the first characteristics polynomial  $\rho(t)$  are such that  $|t_j| \leq 1$ , j=1,2,...,k and  $|t_j|=1$  being simple.

SDMM with first characteristics polynomial in (8) has all its spurious roots at the origin and a root on the boundary of the unit disk. Sub-class of methods with first characteristics polynomial as in (8) are known as Adam's type methods [7], and they are known to be zero stable apriori. SDBDF is zero stable for  $k \leq 10$  and unstable otherwise.

Applying SDLMM (2) to the scalar test equation  $y'(x) = \lambda y$  gives the stability polynomial

$$\Pi(t,z) = \rho(t) - z\sigma(t) - z^2\pi(t); \quad z = \lambda h \tag{10}$$

**Definition** 2

The k-step SDLMM (2) is said to absolutely stable for a given z, if all the roots of the stability polynomial (10) are such that  $|t_j| < 1$ , j = 1, 2, ..., k.

**Definition 3** 

The k-step SDLMM (2) is said to have a region of absolute stability  $\mathbf{R}_A$ , where  $\mathbf{R}_A$ , is the region of the complex z-plane, for that z, for which the method is absolutely stable. Definition 4

The k-step SDLMM (2) is said to be A- stable if its region of absolute stability  $\mathbf{R}_A$ , contains the entire left of the complex z-plane.

The k-step SDMM with characteristics polynomial (8) is of order (k+2), and stable for  $k \le 7$ and unstable otherwise. For SDMM, the choice of third characteristics polynomial  $\pi(t)$  is made so as to ensure stability at infinity. All the k roots of  $\pi(t)$  in (8) are at the origin, Chakravarti and Kamel [11] opined that all the roots are not all required to be at origin, but satisfy the condition  $|t_j| < 1$ , j = 1, 2, ..., k, as this ultimately will not distort the method from being absolutely stable in the left of the complex plane.

The following modifications to the third characteristics polynomial  $\pi(t)$  in (8) were proposed in [11], these are:

(i) 
$$\pi(t) = \gamma_k t^k + a \gamma_k t^{k-1};$$
  $|a| < 1$   
(ii)  $\pi(t) = \gamma_k t^{k-2} (t+a)(t+b);$   $|a| < 1, |b| < 1$   
(iii)  $\pi(t) = \gamma_k t^{k-3} (t+a)(t+b)(t+c);$   $|a| < 1, |b| < 1, |c| < 1$ 
(11)

The numerical test on the influence of the roots a,b, and c on the region of absolute stability show that for (i) |a| < 1 and  $|t_j| = 0$ , j = 1, 2, ..., k-1, then the modified method is stiffly stable for  $3 \le k \le 8$ , (ii) |a| < 1, |b| < 1 and  $|t_j| = 0$ , j = 1, 2, ..., k-2, then it is stiffly stable for  $3 \le k \le 10$ , and (iii) |a| < 1, |b| < 1, |c| < 1 and  $|t_j| = 0$ , j = 1, 2, ..., k-3, then it is stiffly stable for  $3 \le k \le 12$ . By allowing one of the roots of the third characteristics polynomial  $\pi(t)$  in (8) to be within the unit circle but not at the origin, stiffly stable method of order 9 is derived. This is an obvious improvement over the SDMM. The SDBDF is A – stable for  $k \le 3$  and stiffly stable for  $k \le 10$  as shown in Table 1.

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
р	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
α	90°	90°	90°	89.36°	86.35°	80.82°	72.53°	60.71°	43.39°	12.34°
$C_{n+1}$	1	1	9	24	600	450	2450	7840	635040	529200
$\mathbf{C}_{p+1}$	6	21	425	2075	84133	94423	726301	3144919	333304301	353764433

Table 1: Stability angles of the SDBDF

Extending the idea of Chakravarti and Kamel in [11] to the SDBDF as to developing higher order SDLMM is the focus of this paper. This paper is organized as follows: section 2 is on the

modification of SDBDF, analysis of the stability properties of the modified method is done in section 3. Numerical experiments are presented in section 4 and conclusion is in section 5.

### 2. Modification of SDBDF

The SDBDF with characteristic polynomials in (9), is modified as

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j t^j; \ \sigma(t) = \begin{cases} (i) \ \beta_k t^{k-1}(t+a), & |a| < 1\\ (ii) \ \beta_k t^{k-2}(t+a)(t+b), & |a| < 1, |b| < 1\\ (iii) \ \beta_k t^{k-3}(t+a)(t+b)(t+c), & |a| < 1, |b| < 1, |b| < 1 \end{cases}; \ \pi(t) = \gamma_k t^k \quad (12)$$

The coefficients of  $t^{j}$  of method with characteristics polynomials (12) are determined using the undetermined and Taylors' series expansion methods. For the case where a root of the second characteristics polynomial  $\sigma(t)$  is not at the origin, that is

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j t^j; \ \sigma(t) = \beta_k t^{k-1}(t+a), \ |a| < 1; \ \pi(t) = \gamma_k t^k$$
(13)

the order condition for SDLMM (13) can be obtained from (7) as

$$C_{0} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j}$$

$$C_{1} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} j\alpha_{j} - (1+a)\beta_{k}$$

$$C_{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{j^{2}}{2!}\alpha_{j} - \beta_{k}(k+a(k-1)) - \gamma_{k}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$C_{q} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{j^{q}}{q!}\alpha_{j} - \frac{\beta_{k}}{(q-1)!}(k^{q-1} + a(k-1)^{q-1}) - \frac{k^{q-2}}{(q-2)!}\gamma_{k}, \quad q = 3, 4, \cdots$$

$$(14)$$

For k = 1 SDLMM (13), we solve  $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = 0$  in equation (14). Similarly, for k = 2, we solve  $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = 0$  The parameters obtained by solving the linear system (14), are dependent on the non-zero root *a* of the second characteristics polynomial  $\sigma(t)$  in (13). In Table 2, are parameters of the SDLMM (13) for  $k \ge 3$ .

### 3. Stability Analysis

In this section the stability properties of the SDLMM defined by the characteristics polynomial (13) is investigated with regards to zero-stability and region of absolute stability  $R_{A}$ . Applying the

SDLMM (13) to the test equation  $y'(x) = \lambda y$ , yields the stability polynomial

$$\Pi(t,z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} t^{j} - z \beta_{k} (t^{k} + a t^{k-1}) - z^{2} \gamma_{k} t^{k}; \quad z = \lambda h$$
(15)

The parameters  $\alpha_j$ , j = 0, 1, ..., k-1 are functions of the non-zero root a of the second characteristics polynomial  $\sigma(t)$  in (13), therefore the roots of the stability polynomial (15) and first characteristic polynomial (16) are dependent on a.

$$\Pi(t,0) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j t^j;$$
(16)

К	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Order	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
α <sub>0</sub>	4 - 5a	-18 + 17a	3(-48 + 37a)	-300 + 197a	90(-40+23a)	45(-980+503a)	35(-2240 + 1041a)	14(-22680 + 9649a)
-	$-\frac{17(5+2a)}{17(5+2a)}$	$-\frac{1}{5(166+51a)}$	12019 + 2994a	$-\frac{1}{7(5781+1210a)}$	726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{12579676 + 1992445a}{12579676 + 1992445a}$	30300391 + 4276370 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{11(14618365+1859949a)}{11(14618365+1859949a)}$
α <sub>1</sub>	3(-9+14a)	4(-32+33a)	5(-225+182a)	27(-96+65a)	14(-2450+1439a)	240(-1920 + 1001a)	45(-19845+9334a)	175(-22400 + 9621a)
	17(5+2a)	5(166 + 51a)	12019 + 2994a	7(5781 + 1210a)	726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	12579676 + 1992445a	30300391 + 4276370a	11(14618365 + 1859949a)
α2	3(-36+a)	18(-24+31a)	20(-200 + 177 <i>a</i> )	225(-45+32a)	189(-784 + 475 <i>a</i> )	392(-5600 + 2983a)	240(-19440 + 9289a)	2025(-11025+4793a)
	17(5+2a)	$-\frac{1}{5(166+51a)}$	12019 + 2994a	$-\frac{1}{7(5781+1210a)}$	726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{12579676 + 1992445a}{12579676 + 1992445a}$	30300391 + 4276370 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{11(14618365 + 1859949a)}{11(14618365 + 1859949a)}$
α3	1	4(-288+47a)	60(-150+167a)	100(-240 + 187a)	1575(-245+156a)	3528(-1792 + 985a)	784(-18900 + 9229a)	3600(-21600 + 9541 <i>a</i> )
		5(166 + 51a)	12019 + 2994a	7(5781 + 1210a)	726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	12579676 + 1992445a	-30300391 + 4276370a	11(14618365 + 1859949a)
$\alpha_4$		1	5(-3600 + 857 <i>a</i> )	675(-60+59a)	350(-1960 + 1369a)	7350(-1680 + 971a)	1764(-18144 + 9145a)	8820(-21000 + 9481 <i>a</i> )
			12019 + 2994a	$-\frac{1}{7(5781+1210a)}$	726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{12579676 + 1992445a}{12579676 + 1992445a}$	30300391 + 4276370a	$-\frac{11(14618365+1859949a)}{11(14618365+1859949a)}$
α <sub>5</sub>			1	9(-7200 + 2033 <i>a</i> )	1890(-490 + 433 <i>a</i> )	3920(-4480 + 2843 <i>a</i> )	2940(-17010 + 9019a)	15876(-20160 + 9397a)
				7(5781 + 1210a)	726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	12579676 + 1992445a	30300391 + 4276370a	11(14618365 + 1859949a)
α <sub>6</sub>				1	63(-19600 + 6067 <i>a</i> )	17640(-1120 + 901a)	3920(-15120 + 8809a)	22050(-18900 + 9271a)
					726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{12579676 + 1992445a}{12579676 + 1992445a}$	30300391 + 4276370 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{11(14618365 + 1859949a)}{11(14618365 + 1859949a)}$
α <sub>7</sub>					1	72(-313600 + 102363a)	5040(-11340 + 8389a)	25200(-16800 + 9061a)
						12579676 + 1992445a	30300391 + 4276370 <i>a</i>	11(14618365 + 1859949a)
α <sub>8</sub>						1	27(-2116800 + 712843a)	28350(-12600 + 8641 <i>a</i> )
							30300391 + 4276370 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{11(14618365 + 1859949a)}{11(14618365 + 1859949a)}$
α,							1	5(-63504000 + 21769309a)
								11(14618365 + 1859949a)
<i>a</i> <sub>10</sub>								1
$\beta_{k-1}$	66	120	8220	3780	457380	7670880	17965080	8454600
	$\overline{17(5+2a)}^a$	$\overline{166+51a}^{a}$	$\overline{12019 + 2994a}^{a}$	$\overline{5781 + 1210a}^{a}$	$\overline{726301 + 131000a}^{a}$	$\overline{12579676 + 1992445a}^{a}$	$\overline{30300391 + 4276370a}^a$	$\overline{14618365 + 1859949a}^{a}$
$\beta_k$	66	120	8220	3780	457380	7670880	17965080	8454600
	17(5+2a)	166 + 51a	12019 + 2994a	5781 + 1210a	726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	12579676 + 1992445a	30300391 + 4276370 <i>a</i>	14618365 + 1859949a
$\gamma_k$	6(-3+a)	36(-4+a)	360(-5+a)	900(-6+a)	12600(-7+a)	176400(-8+a)	352800(-9+a)	1587600(-10+a)
	17(5+2a)	5(166 + 51a)	12019 + 2994a	7(5781 + 1210a)	726301 + 131000 <i>a</i>	12579676 + 1992445a	30300391 + 4276370 <i>a</i>	11(14618365 + 1859949a)
$C_{p+1}$	18 – 17 <i>a</i>	48 – 37 <i>a</i>	600 - 394 <i>a</i>	135(-40+23a)	4900 – 2515 <i>a</i>	31360 - 14574a	635040 – 270172 <i>a</i>	105(-25200+9901a)
	850 + 340a	4150 + 1275a	84133 + 20958a	$\overline{196(5781 + 1210a)}$	1452602 + 262000a	12579676 + 1992445a	333304301 + 47040070 <i>a</i>	$-\frac{121(14618365 + 1859949a)}{121(14618365 + 1859949a)}$

Table 2: Coefficients and Error constants for SDLMM(13) for k = 3, 4, ..., 10.

A numerical scan for parameters that yield stable SDLMM with characteristics polynomials (13) for value of a within the range  $a \in (-1,1)$  is carried out using MATHEMATICA 10. In Table 3, the stability characteristics of zero-stable SDLMM (13) with the widest stability region  $R_{A}$  is

Tuble	Tuble 5. Stubility characteristics of SDELVIN (15)									
k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
а	0.9	0.7	0.8	-0.7	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9
p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
α	90°	90°	90°	89.97°	88.19°	83.46°	76.28°	65.82°	53.81°	29.2°
<i>c</i> <sub><i>p</i>+1</sub>	$-\frac{4}{57}$	$\frac{5}{1176}$	$\frac{1}{255}$	$\frac{739}{32575}$	$\frac{4773}{326354}$	5463 613088	$\frac{14327}{2433604}$	6968 1689881	5332 1766627	$\frac{1462}{639341}$

 Table 3: Stability characteristics of SDLMM (13)

## 4. Numerical Experiment

The SDLMM (13) is used to integrate stiff linear and nonlinear problems in this section, results obtained are compared with those generated using the SDBDF (9). To resolve the implicitness in the implementation of the methods, the Newton-Raphson iterative scheme is used [2, 4, 7]. Implementation is done using a fixed step size, h.

## Problem 1

presented.

Consider the nonlinear system

$$y'_1 = -1002y_1 + 1000y_2^2, \quad y_1(0) = 1$$
  
 $y'_2 = y_1 - y_2(1 + y_2), \quad y_2(0) = 1$ 

 $x \in [0,5]$ , whose exact solutions are:  $y_1(x) = e^{-2x}$ ,  $y_2(x) = e^{-x}$  [12].

The absolute errors obtained upon solving problem 1 with *SDLMM* (13) and *SDBDF* using fixed stepsize  $h = 10^{-4}$  are displayed in Table 4.

Table 4: Absolute errors of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  solution components for problem 1

x	$y_i$	$ y(x) - y_{SDLMM} $	$ y(x) - y_{SDBDF} $
1	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	8.066283250601769 <i>E</i> – 5	8.117704156002103 <i>E</i> - 5
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	1.0894973361402771 <i>E</i> – 4	1.1034758718098114 <i>E</i> – 4
2	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	1.091655783900039 <i>E</i> – 5	1.0986148467000134 <i>E</i> - 5
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	4.008048003900644 <i>E</i> - 5	4.0594721627013050 <i>E</i> - 5
3	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	1.4773995779997565 <i>E</i> – 6	1.4868176459997134 <i>E</i> - 6
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	1.474482610300254 <i>E</i> – 5	1.4934005010999729 <i>E</i> – 5
4	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	1.9994485000004092 <i>E</i> – 7	2.0121944700003452 <i>E</i> - 7
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	5.424333650000390 <i>E</i> – 6	5.4939286810024020 <i>E</i> - 6
5	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	2.7059667999997398E – 8	2.7232165999998660 <i>E</i> - 8
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	1.9955064460000158 <i>E</i> – 6	2.0211090270005894 <i>E</i> - 6

From Table 4, it can be observed that the SDLMM (13) tracks the exact solution to at least 4 digits. The SDLMM (13) possesses smaller absolute errors compared to that of the SDBDF.

## Problem 2

The stiff linear systems

$$y'_1 = 998y_1 + 1998y_2, \quad y_1(0) = 1$$
  
 $y'_2 = -999y_1 - 1999y_2, \quad y_2(0) = 0$ 

 $x \in [0,1]$ , whose exact solutions are:  $y_1(x) = -e^{-1000x} + 2e^{-x}$ ,  $y_2(x) = e^{-1000x} - e^{-x}$  [12]. Using fixed stepsize of  $(h = 10^{-5})$ , the absolute errors are shown in Table 5. Table 5: Absolute errors of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  solution components for problem 2

x	$y_i$	$ y(x) - y_{SDLMM} $	$ y(x) - y_{SDBDF} $
0.1	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	4.741271129704572 <i>E</i> – 5	5.428943224194960 <i>E</i> – 5
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	2.3706355648300814 <i>E</i> - 5	2.7144716120530710 <i>E</i> - 5
0.2	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	4.290079664270863 <i>E</i> - 5	4.912311106775036 <i>E</i> – 5
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	2.1450398321576358 <i>E</i> - 5	2.456155553387518 <i>E</i> – 5
0.4	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	4.047154779307505E - 6	4.021860395475585E - 5
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	1.756210189041152 <i>E</i> – 5	2.0109301977377925 <i>E</i> – 5
0.6	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	2.8685539941397664 <i>E</i> - 5	3.292820972911059 <i>E</i> - 5
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	1.4378633819256414 <i>E</i> – 5	1.6464104864555296 <i>E</i> – 5
0.8	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	2.3544460894142638 <i>E</i> - 5	2.6959339453180853 <i>E</i> - 5
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	1.1772230447015808 <i>E</i> – 5	1.3479669726590426 <i>E</i> – 5
1.0	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	1.927657543498995 <i>E</i> – 5	2.2072441529630282 <i>E</i> - 5
	<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	9.638287717328442 <i>E</i> - 6	1.103622076431554 <i>E</i> – 5

The SDLMM (13) possesses smaller absolute errors compared to that of the SDBDF.

## Problem 3

The stiff linear systems

$$y'_{1} = -y_{1} + y_{2}, \qquad y_{1}(0) = 1$$
  

$$y'_{2} = -100y_{1} - y_{2}, \qquad y_{2}(0) = 0$$
  

$$y'_{3} = -100y_{3} + y_{4}, \qquad y_{3}(0) = 1$$
  

$$y'_{4} = -10000y_{3} - 100y_{4}, \qquad y_{4}(0) = 0$$

whose exact solutions are:  $y_1 = e^{-x} \cos 10x$ ,  $y_2 = -10e^{-x} \sin 10x$ ,  $y_3 = e^{-100x} \cos 100x$ ,  $y_4 = -100e^{-100x} \sin 100x$  [12]. Using  $(h = 10^{-5})$ , the problem is solved at a point x = 0.1 and the absolute errors are presented in Table 6.

Table 6: Absolute errors of  $y_1$  and  $y_4$  solution components for problem 3

ſ	x	$y_i$	$ y(x) - y_{SDLMM} $	$ y(x) - y_{SDBDF} $
	0.1	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub>	2.430664595641008 <i>E</i> – 3	2.4325204562850034 <i>E</i> - 3
		$y_4$	2.293631606082000 <i>E</i> - 3	2.2936322928490000 <i>E</i> - 3

The absolute errors when SDLMM (13) is used is smaller compared to that of the SDBDF.

## 5. Conclusion

A new class of second derivative linear multistep method is developed by considering a non-zero root in the second characteristics polynomial  $-\sigma(t)$  of the SDBDF (9). Comparing the stability characteristics of the SDLMM (13) and the SDBDF (9) in Tables 1 and 3, the SDLMM (13) was observed to possess a wider region of absolute stability  $R_{\perp}$ . From the numerical experiments

performed, the strength of the SDLMM (13) in tracking the exact solutions is visible. The SDLMM possesses the same order with the SDBDF and compares favourably when used to integrate stiff systems.

## References

- B.O. Athe and K.O. Muka (2017). Third Derivative Multistep Methods with Optimized regions of Absolute Stability for Stiff Initial Value Problems in Ordinary Differential Equations. Nigerian Research Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences, Vol. 2(2), pp 369-374.
- [2] J.C. Butcher (2008). Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations, John Wiley & Son Ltd, Chichester.
- [3] J.R. Cash (2000). Modified Extended Backward Differentiation Formulae for the Numerical Solution of Stiff Initial Value Problems in ODEs and DAEs, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 125, pp 117-130.
- [4] E. Hairer, S. Norsett, and G. Wanner (2002). Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II: Stiff and Differential -Algebraic Problems, Springer-Verlag.
- [5] A.K. Ezzeddine and G. Hojjati (2011). Hybrid Extended Backward Differentiation Formulas for Stiff Systems. International Journal of Nonlinear Science, Vol.12, No.2, pp. 196-204.
- [6] G.A.F. Ismail and I.H. Ibrahim (1999). New Efficient Second Derivative Multistep Methods for Stiff Systems. Applied Mathematical Modelling, Vol. 23, pp. 279-288.
- [7] J.D. Lambert (1991). Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential System: The Initial Value Problems, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester.
- [8] K.O. Muka and F.O. Obiorah (2016). Boundary Locus Search for Stable Second Derivative Linear Multistep Method for Stiff Initial Value Problems in ODEs. Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 37, pp 173 – 178.
- [9] K.O. Muka and A.S. Olu-Oseh (2017). Boundary Locus Search for Stiffly Stable Third Derivative Linear Multistep Formulas for Stiff ODEs. University of Ibadan Journal of Science and Logics in ICT Research, Vol. 1, pp. 7-14.
- [10] K.O. Muka, A.S. Olu-Oseh, and A.P. Ijezie (2018). New Third Derivative Linear Multistep Methods for Stiff IVPs. Transactions of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 7, pp. 127 134.
- [11] P.C. Chakravarti and M.S. Kamel (1982). Stiffly Stable Second Derivative Multistep Methods with Higher Order and Improved Stability Regions. BIT Vol. 23, pp. 75-83.
- [12] A.P. Ijezie, and K.O. Muka (2017). On Two Non-Zero Roots of Modified SDBDF for Stiff Systems. World Journal of Applied Science and Technology, Vol. 9 No 2, pp. 141 - 149.