

Journal of Science and Technology Research

Journal homepage: www.nipesjournals.org.ng



Comparative Analysis of Compost Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on the Bacterial Population Density of Cocoa Seedling Rhizosphere *Osamede Akhimien*^{1*} and Solomon E. Omonigho²

^{1,2} Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria *osamede.osawe@uniben.edu

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Article history: Received 03 May 2019 Revised 11 May 2019 Accepted 15 May 2019 Available online 12 June 2019

Keywords:

Cocoa, seedlings, rhizosphere, poultry manure, cow dung, NPK fertilizer

ABSTRACT

Soil quality has been known to have a strong effect on cocoa tree growth and the interactions of plant and microbe in the rhizosphere influence plant health, productivity and soil fertility. In this study, the rhizospheres of cocoa seedlings were analyzed for bacterial density population after soil amendment. Cocoa seedlings were self-grown in nursery located at the Orchard of the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin and Amelonado variety Tc1-Tc8 pods were used. The seeds were prepared, pre-germinated and planted in bags containing 5 kg top soil. Organic fertilizers (compost poultry manure and cow dung) and inorganic fertilizer was applied to the soil surrounding the seedlings at one month after planting. The sowing soil and the rhizosphere of the cocoa seedlings at one month to four month were collected and analyzed. Serial dilution method was used for culturing and bacterial isolates were identified by Gram staining and various biochemical methods. The isolates were further characterized by DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing. All isolates belong to the phyla Proteobacteria, save one which belongs to the phylum Firmicutes: all of which are predominately found in the soil. The result revealed that the rhizosphere of seedlings amended with inorganic fertilizer recorded the least bacterial activity (2.35 x $10^5 - 3.05 x 10^5$ cfu/g), while that of poultry manure recorded the highest (8.20 x 10^5 – 1.17×10^6). The application of poultry manure showed a significant difference in the bacterial population.

1. Introduction

Theobroma cacao L. (Cocoa) is a preferentially alogamous tropical woody species in the Malvaceae family [1]. The tree produces pods that contain about 40 cocoa beans surrounded by a sweet tasting pulp. When fermented and processed, the beans produce one of the most desired flavours in the world - chocolate. Cocoa was first cultivated in the western region of Nigeria in 1890. Its cultivation gained prominence rapidly in Nigeria such that by 1965, Nigeria became the second largest producer in the world [2]. Nigeria is now the world top seventh producer [3]. The production of cocoa in Nigeria has witnessed a downward trend since the early 1970s due to numerous factors such as ageing trees, ageing farmers, wrong application of recommended agronomic techniques, effects of pests and diseases and deficiencies in macro and micro nutrients in the soils [4]. Soil quality has been known to have a strong effect on cocoa tree growth [5, 6, 7, 8]. Reports of the soil fertility evaluation across cocoa ecologies in Nigeria have shown that phosphorous and potassium is limiting [9] hence, the use of fertilizer has become inevitable. More than 85% of cocoa farmers in Nigeria do not use fertilizers on cocoa [10].

Osamede Akhimien/ Journal of Science and Technology Research 1(2) 2019 pp. 69-76

Soil microorganisms participate in the processes that are crucial for long-term sustainability of agricultural systems [11]. The rhizosphere, or the soil under the influence of plant roots [12], is considered one of the most diverse microbial habitats with respect to species richness and community size [13]. The organisms thriving in the rhizosphere encompass a range of different taxa, including prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms and most abundant among these groups are the bacteria. These microorganisms positively affect plant health through a variety of mechanisms, including mineralization of nutrients, suppression of disease, improving plant stress tolerance, and production of phytohormones [14, 15, 16]. Many studies suggest that the Proteobacteria and the Actinobacteria form the most common of the dominant populations (>1%, usually much more) found in the rhizosphere of many different plant species [17]. Plant-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere influence plant health, productivity and soil fertility [18] and the assembly of microbial communities in the rhizosphere can be affected by human activities such as the input of fertilizers and pesticides [19]. As with most crops, nitrogen (N) is the nutrient required in the largest quantities by cocoa and according to Snoeck et al. [20], P fertilisation is likely to increase cocoa growth and yield. The use of organic fertilisers and the inclusion of N₂-fixing trees can greatly contribute to nutrient availability in cocoa production. This may be important especially for farmers for whom it is difficult to access inorganic fertilisers, due to problems with supply and/or cost [21, 22]. Organic residues have the advantage over standard NPK fertilisers of adding other nutrients such as Ca, Mg, and micronutrients. They also assist in maintaining soil organic matter.

2. Methodology

2.1 Nursery and seedling preparation

Amelonado variety Tc1-Tc8 pods purchased from Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria (CRIN) was used. Pods were opened longitudinally with a knife within 3 days of purchase and good beans were selected from the middle only of the pods, the surrounding pulp was removed using saw dust, the beans were washed afterwards. Each bean were singly placed on a moisted tray and covered under humid condition and sprouting was noticed within 24 hr. Then the emerging part of the germinating beans were inserted in the centre of the soil in a pre-filled polythene bag and adequate watering and weeding followed for the 4 month period of cultivation. Seedlings were generated with methods described by Adeyemi *et al.* [23].

2.2 Collection of fertilizers

Poultry droppings were collected from the Farm House, University of Benin, while fresh cow dung was collected from the Cattle Market in Aduwawa, Benin City. The inorganic fertilizer N.P.K 14-14-14 manufactured by Olam Industries was used.

2.3 Manure composting

The compost pile of poultry droppings and cow dung self-heated to temperatures > 55° C in the central core of the pile on a slab for 4 weeks; at 9 weeks the pile was turned for even distribution of heat and sparely watered. The pile reheated to > $50 - 55^{\circ}$ C for one week, and then gradually cooled to ambient temperature by 13 weeks. The pile was allowed to cure for an additional 3 weeks before the compost was air-dried and stored in covered containers. Composite samples were obtained according to standard methods [24].

2.4 Application of fertilizer

The fertilizer application rate for cocoa seedling of 10 kg/ha for inorganic fertilizer and 2.5 t/ha for organic fertilizer [25, 26] was applied around the seedling at 1 month after planting (MAP) as described by Ooi and Chew [27].

2.5 Soil sample collection

A 50 g of the sowing soil was collected and the Root Adhering Soil (RAS) of seedlings were collected every month through 4 months after planting (MAP) [28].

2.6 Bacteriological analysis

Bacteriological analysis were carried out on 1g moist soil sample, dispensed into 9ml sterile distilled water in 3 subsequent dilution to give a 1/10 fold dilution. 1ml of the fourth (4^{th}) dilution was dispensed into Nutrient agar by the pour plate methodology. The diluents were triplicated for confirmation and to check distribution of the cells in the diluents. The plates were then incubated at 37^{0} C for 24hours. After incubation, colonies were counted and the unit expressed in cfu/g.

2.7 Identification of Isolates

Isolates were examined for size, shape, margin, consistency, elevation. Fresh nutrient agar plates were streaked inoculated for pure culture from plates of different colonies of Isolates. Isolates were identified and characterized using cultural, morphological and biochemical tests.

2.8 Molecular identification of bacteria

2.8.1 DNA Extraction

100mg (wet weight) bacterial cells that have been resuspended in 200 ul of water were added to a ZR Bashing lysis tube. Lysis solution of 750 ul was added to the tube, secured in bead fitted with 2 ml tube holder assembly and processed at maximum speed for 5 mins. The ZR BashingBead TM lysis tube was then centrifuged at > 10,000 x g for 1 min. Up to 400 ul supernantant was transferred to a Zymo-SpinTM IV spin filter in a collection tube and centrifuged at 7,000 x g for 1 min and 1200 ul of bacterial DNA binding buffer was added to the filtrate in the collection tube. Thereafter, 800 ul of the resulting mixture was transferred to a Zymo-SpinTM IIC column in a collection tube and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min and the step repeated again. Next, 200 ul of DNA pre-wash buffer was added to the Zymo-SpinTM IIC column in new collection tube and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min. Then 500 ul bacterial DNA wash buffer was added to the Zymo-SpinTM IIC column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min and the Zymo-SpinTM IIC column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min and the Zymo-SpinTM IIC column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min and the Zymo-SpinTM IIC column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min and the Zymo-SpinTM IIC column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min and the Zymo-SpinTM IIC column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min and the Zymo-SpinTM IIC column was transferred to a clean 1.5 ml micro centrifuge and 100 ul of DNA Elution Buffer was directly added to the column matrix. It was centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 secs to elute the DNA.

2.8.2 Polymerase Chain Reaction

The DNA was subjected to PCR buffer, Mgcl₂, DMSO, DNTPs, Taq and H₂O.

The Primers 16SF: GTGCCAGCAGCCGCGCTAA

16SR: AGACCCGGGAACGTATTCAC were used to amplify the 16S rRNA gene .

Initial denaturation was at 94° c for 5 mins and denaturation at same temperature for 30 sec. Annealing was at 54° c for 30 sec, extension was at 72° c for 45 sec and for 36 circles. Final extension was at 72° c for 7 min and hold temperature of 10° c. The amplicons from the reaction was loaded on 1.5% agarose gel and the gel picture is attached as PCR. The ladder used was hyper ladder 1 from Bioloine. The expected base pair of the amplicons was around 650bp.Gene AMP PCR system 9700 was used for PCR amplification.

2.8.3 Sequencing

Genetic analyzer was ABI 3500 which was used for sequencing. Sequences of the isolated strains were compared with sequences in GenBank using the alignment search tool (BLAST) [29, 30].

2.9 Statistical analysis

The data collected were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated using Genstat statistical package 10th edition (Turkey test) LSD at the 5% level of significance.

3. Results and Discussion

S/No.	Treatment	Time	Bacterial population (cfu/g) x 10 ⁵
1	Cow dung	cd	2.70
2		cd1	4.95
3		cd2	3.45
4		cd3	2.85
5	Control	с	5.25
6		c1	5.05
7		c2	4.25
8		c3	3.95
10	NPK	npk1	3.05
11		npk2	2.35
12		npk3	2.45
13	Poultry manure	pm	7.55
14		pm1	11.7
15		pm2	9.65
16		pm3	8.20

Table 1: Count of bacterial population

Key:

cd = cow dung manure cd 1, cd 2 and cd 3 = rhizosphere of soil amended with cow dung after 1 months, 2 months and 3 months respectively. Control = sowing soil c1, c 2, c 3 = un-amended soil after 1 month, 2 months and 3 months respectively. Npk 1, 2 and 3 = rhizosphere of soil amended with NPK after 1 month, 2 and 3 months respectively. Pm = compost poultry manure pm1, 2 and 3 = rhizosphere of soil amended with poultry manure after 1 month , 2 months and 3 months respectively.

S/No.	Treatment	Time	Isolates
			Acinetobacter calcoacetius, Comamonas testosteroni,
1	Cow dung	cd	Burkholderia vietnamiensis
			Acinetobacter calcoace, Comamonas testosteroni,
			Lysinibacillus macroides, Burkholderia vietnamiensis,
2		cd1	Janthinobacterium lividum, Brevundimonas diminuta
			Ralstonia pickettii, Acinetobacter calcoacetius,
3		cd2	Pseudomonas aeruginosa
			Acinetobacter calcoacetius, Comamonas testosteroni,
4		cd3	Burkholderia vietnamiensis
			Ralstonia pickettii, Comamonas testosteroni,
5	Control	с	Lysinibacillus macroides, Bacillus subtilis
6		c1	Bacillus subtilis, Comamonas testosteroni,

Table 2: Bacterial isolates

Osamede Akhimien/ Journal of Science and Technology Research 1(2) 2019 pp. 69-76

			Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Lysinibacillus macroides,
			Acinetobacter calcoacetius
			Ralstonia pickettii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,
			Comamonas testosteroni, Acinetobacter calcoacetius,
7		c2	Bacillus subtilis
			Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis, Comamonas
8		c3	testosterone
			Ralstonia pickettii, Comamonas testosteroni, Bacillus
10	NPK	npk1	subtilis
			Ralstonia pickettii, Bacillus subtilis, Comamonas
11		npk2	testosteroni, Pseudomonas aeruginosa
			Burkholderia vietnamiensis, Comamonas testosteroni,
12		npk3	Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa
	Poultry		Burkholderia vietnamiensis, , Pseudomonas aeruginosa,
13	manure	pm	Comamonas testosteroni, Bacillus subtilis
			Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Comamonas testosteroni,
14		pm1	Bacillus subtilis
			Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Comamonas testosteroni,
15		pm2	Bacillus subtilis
			Burkholderia vietnamiensis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,
16		pm3	Comamonas testosteroni, Lysinibacillus macroides

Table 3: means for bacterial population and isolates

Treatment	Bacteria population	Isolates	
Cow dung	44.12a	19.33a	
Control	49.50a	25.75b	
Poultry manure	60.25b	29.75b	
NPK	46.38a	20.75a	

Means in same column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different $P \le 0.05$ using Turkey Test.

Modern farming practices, such as fertilizer applications can alter soil microbial communities through their impact on various edaphic factors, including soil moisture, pH [31, 32, 33], nutrient availability, organic matter content, and temperature [34, 35, 36, 37].

The bacterial count of all fertilizers before application show compost poultry manure with the highest count (7.55 x 10^5 cfu/g), followed by compost cow dung (2.70 x 10^5 cfu/g) while NPK showed no growth. While the soil samples show the highest count in the rhizosphere of cocoa seedlings amended with poultry manure ($8.20 - 11.70 \times 10^5$ cfu/g), followed by the control ($3.95 - 5.05 \times 10^5$ cfu/g) and the NPK amended soil had the lowest count ($2.35 - 3.05 \times 10^5$ cfu/g). The rich composition of poultry manure is probably the reason why it recorded the highest count, while the diet and intestinal digestion that the cow dung underwent might account for the lower bacterial count. The chemical composition of the NPK would be the reason for the zero count recorded in comparison to the organic fertilizers. In comparison to mineral fertilizers, organic fertilizers (e.g., animal manures and compost) have been reported to enhance the bacterial richness (number of species) and lower evenness (relative abundance of taxa) of soil communities [38, 39]. In a study, O'Brien [40] reported that organic fertilizer treatment was found to have a significant effect on the overall bacterial abundances in the rhizosphere soils.

The isolated organisms as shown in Table 2 were members of the phyla Proteobacteria and Firmicutes, and they include *Burkholderia* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., *Comamonas* sp., *Lysinibacillus* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Acinetobacter* sp., *Janthinobacterium* sp., *Ralstonia* sp., *Brevundimonas* sp.. Proteobacteria is the predominant phylum in rhizosphere, this may be due to their rapid growth

rates and, because the nutrient-rich environment is suitable for this phylum or certain classes within this phylum [41]. They are mostly Gram-negative and many are responsible for nitrogen fixation and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. These findings were consistent with previous studies on bacterial communities in soil [42, 43], where the major soil phyla comprised of Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Plantcomycetes. The heterotrophic Bacteroidetes, and Firmicutes are related to the decomposition of soil organic matter [44]. Similarly, Li et al., 2014, Yang et al., 2017 and Goldfarb et al., 2011 [45, 46, 47] in their study reported proteobacteria as the most abundant phylum in soil. Also, Bolhuis et al. [48] found that significant growth-related dynamic changes in bacterial community structure were mainly associated with phylum Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria (mainly genera Burkholderia, Flavisolibacter and Pseudomonas). Members of Burkholderia were enriched in the rhizosphere, possibly due to their versatile abilities to utilize root metabolites, degrade aromatic compounds [49] and produce anti-microbial substances. The Use of manure can increase the long term sustainability of agriculture and its impact on global climate change. Also, high transport cost, constant price increase and scarcity of has not enabled many farmers to use inorganic fertilizer. While organic manure is cheaper, the knowledge of this alternative either singly or in combination with inorganic fertilizer is still not wide spread. A study by Adejobi et al. [50] showed that organic fertilizer materials positively and significantly affected the growth parameters of cocoa seedlings such as plant height, stem diameter, number of leaves per plant and leaf area relative to control. Similarly, poultry manure and organo-mineral fertilizer has been reported to perform better on growth of cocoa seedlings than inorganic NPK [51]. However, a finding also indicated that there was no significant difference between annual yam yields per hectare, using organic and inorganic fertilizers [52].

4. Conclusion

The benefits of application of poultry manure to agricultural soil are obvious as the results show over cow dung and much more over inorganic fertilizer.

References

- [1] W. S. Alverson, B. A. Whitlock, R. Nyffeler, C. Bayer, and D. A. Baum (1999). Phylogeny of the Core Malvales: Evidence from *ndhF* Sequence Data. *American Journal of Botany* Vol. 86, pp. 1474-1486.
- [2] A. J. Adegeye (1996). Production and Marketing of Cocoa in Nigeria: Problems and Solutions. In: Cocoa Revolution in Nigeria: Proceedings of a National Seminar on Revolutionizing Nigeria's Cocoa Industry, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, 28th - 30th November, 1995. pp. 10-13.
- [3] ICCO (2016). Cocoa year 2015/2016 of The International Cocoa Organization. Quarterly Bulletin of Cocoa Statistics, Volume XLII, No. 4.
- [4] K. B. Adejobi, A. O. Famaye, D. O. Adeniyi, S. B. Orisajo and E. A. Adeyemi. (2011). Effect of Cocoa Pod Husk and Goat Dung on Nutrient Content and Growth Performance of Cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*). Advances in Environmental Biology Vol. 5(7), pp. 1536-1542.
- [5] O. S Akanbi, S. O. Ojeniyi, A. O. Famaye, R. R. Ipinmoroti, A. A. Oloyede, I. K. Oyewumi, K. Ayegbonyin, K. B. Adejobi, and M. Idrisu (2014). Soil Nutrients and Cocoa Seedling Performance as Influenced by Plant Residue Ash and NPK fertilizer Addition on a Depleted Soil in Ibadan, South Western, Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil Science* Vol. 4(1), pp. 1-4.
- [6] L. K. Koko (2014). Teractiv cacao as a new fertilizer based reactive phosphate rock for cocoa productivity in Côte d'Ivoire: A participatory approach to update fertilization recommendation. *Procedia Engineering* Vol. 83, pp. 348-353
- [7] T. Koudjega, and B. K. Tossah (2009). Improvement of Soils Fertility Management in Cocoa Plantations in Togo. *Proceeding of the 7th International Symposium on Plant-Soil* Interactions at Low pH, 17th- 21st May 2009, Guangzhou. pp.184 - 185.
- [8] A. S. Mohd, Yusoff, K. M. J Ahmad, and D. Hamzah (2007). The Effect of Various Rates of Phosphate Application on the Growth of Cocoa Seedlings and its Nutrient Uptake in Relation to Chemically Available Phosphorus in the Soil and Age of Seedling. *Malaysian Cocoa Journal* Vol. 3: pp1-12.

- [9] M. O. Ogunlade and P. O. Aikpokpodion (2010) Physicochemical Properties of Selected Soils in ThreeCocoa Growing Ecologies of Nigeria. Proceedings of the 44th Annual Conference of Pp. 88-110.
- [10] M. O. Ogunlade and C. I. Iloyanomon (2009). Leaf Litter Fall and Soil Nutrient Status Under Cocoa Plantation of Different Ages in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science* Vol. 19(1), pp. 25-28.
- [11] P. Nannipieri, J. Ascher, M. T. Ceccherini, L. Landi, G. Pietramellara and G. Renella (2003). Microbial diversity and soil functions. *European Journal of Soil Science* Vol. 54, pp. 655–670.
- [12] A. Hartmann, M. Rothballer, M. Schmid and H. Lorenz (2007). A pioneer in Rhizosphere Microbial Ecology and Soil Bacteriology Research. *Plant and Soil* Vol. **312**, pp. 7–14.
- [13] R. Mendes, P. Garbeva and J. M. Raaijmakers (2013). The Rhizosphere Microbiome: Significance of Plant Beneficial, Plant Pathogenic, and Human Pathogenic Microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* Vol. 37, pp 634–663.
- [14] R. L. Berendsen, C. M. Pieterse, and P. A. Bakker (2012). The Rhizosphere Microbiome and Plant Health. *Trends in Plant Science* Vol. 17(8), pp. 478-486.
- [15] M. D. V. B. Figueiredo, L. Seldin, F. F. de Araujo and R. L. R. Mariano (2011). Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria. In: Maheshwari, D. K. (ed.). Fundamentals and Applications Plant Growth and Health Promoting Bacteria. Springer, Berlin. pp. 21–43.
- [16] A. Gupta, M. Gopal and K. Tilak (2000). Mechanism of Plant Growth Promotion by Rhizobacteria. Indian Journal of Experimental Biology Vol. 38, pp. 856–862.
- [17] S. Singh, J. K. Ladha, R. K. Gupta, L. Bhushan, A. N. Rao, B. Sivaprasad and P. P. Singh, P. P. (2007). Evaluation of mulching, intercropping with Sesbania and herbicide use for weed management in dry-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Crop Protection* Vol. 26, pp. 518- 524.
- [18] R. de Souza, A. Ambrosini, and M. P. Passaglia (2015). Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria as Inoculants in Agricultural Soils. Genetics and Molecular Biology Vol. 38 (4), pp. 401–419.
- [19] L. Philippot, J. M. Raaijmakers, P.Lemanceau, and W. H. van der Putten (2013). Going Back to the Roots: The Microbial Ecology of the Rhizosphere. Nature Reviews Microbiology Vol. 11, pp. 789–799.
- [20] D. Snoeck, A. Afrifa, K. Ofori Frimpong, E. Boateng and M. Abekoe (2010). Mapping fertilizer recommendations for cocoa production in Ghana using soil diagnostic and GIS tools. West African Journal of Applied Ecology Vol.17, pp. 97-107.
- [21] E. Smaling, S. Nandwa, H. Prestele, R. Roetter, and F.Muchena (1992). Yield response of maize to fertilizers and manure under different agro-ecological conditions in Kenya. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment Vol. 41, pp. 241-252.
- [22] S. Agbeniyi, K. Oluyole and M. Ogunlade (2011). Impact of cocoa pod husk fertilizer on cocoa production in Nigeria. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences Vol. 7, pp. 113-116.
- [23] E. A. Adeyemi, A. A. Oloyede, and B. D. Adewale (2016). Training Manual on Cocoa Nursery Establishment and Management. Lordleads Publishers, Ibadan. pp. 3-11.
- [24] W. Thompson, P. Leege, P. Millner, and M. E.Watson (2001). Test Methods for the Examination of Composts and Composting. The US Composting Council, US Government Printing Office. Available at: http://tmecc.org/tmecc/index.html.
- [25] K. B. Adejobi, A. O. Famaye, O. S. O. Akanbi, S. A. Adeosun, A. B. Nduka and D. O. Adeniyi (2013). Potentials of cocoa pod husk ash as fertilizer and liming materials on nutrient uptake and growth performance of cocoa. Research Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Management Vol. 2(9), pp. 243 -251
- [26] O. S. Oyewole, O. J. Ajayi and R. I. Rotimi (2012). Growth of cocoa (Theobroma cacao L.) Seedlings on Old Cocoa Soils Amended with Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers. African Journal of Agricultural Vol. 7(24), pp. 3604-3608.
- [27] L. H. Ooi, and P. S.Chew (1985). Some Important Agronomic and Agricultural Practices in Cocoa Estates. TDMB Plantation Management Seminar, Kuala Trengganu.
- [28] A. Milling, K.Smalla, F. X. Maidi, M. Schloter, and J. C. Munch (2005). Effects of Transgenic Potatoes with an Altered Composition on the Diversity of Soil and Rhizosphere Bacteria and Fungi. Plant Soil Vol. 266, pp. 23-39.
- [29] J. Sambrook, E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis (1989). Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York. pp. 544-563.
- [30] S. F. Altschul, W.Gish, W.Miller, E, W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman (1990). Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. Journal of Molecular Biology Vol. 215, pp. 403-410.
- [31] R. Li, E. Khafipour, D. O. Krause, M. H. Entz, T. R. De Kievit, W. G. D. Fernando (2012). Pyrosequencing reveals the influence of organic and conventional farming systems on bacterial communities. PLoS One Vol. 7, e51897.
- [32] B. M. Tripathi, M. Kim, D. Singh, L. Lee-Cruz, A. Lai-Hoe, A. N. Ainuddin, R. Go, R. A. Rahim, M. H. Husni, J. Chun, and J. M. Adams (2012). Tropical soil bacterial communities in malaysia: pH dominates in the equatorial tropics too. Microbial Ecology Vol. 64, pp. 474–484.
- [33] L. C. Blasiak, A. W. Schmidt, H. Andriarinoro, T. Mulaw, R. Rasolomampianina W. L. Applequist, C. Birkinshaw, F. Rejo-Fienena, P. P. 2nd Lowry, T. M. Schmidt, and R. T. Hill (2014). Bacterial communities in malagasy soils with differing levels of disturbance affecting botanical diversity. PLoS One Vol. 9, pp. 1371
- [34] G. A. Kowalchuk, and J. R. Stephen (2001). Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria: a model for molecular microbial ecology. Annual Reviews of Microbiology Vol. 55, pp. 485–529.
- [35] L. R. III Bulluck, M. Brosius, G. K. Evanylo, and J. B. Ristaino (2002). Organic and synthetic fertility amendments influence soil microbial, physical and chemical properties on organic and conventional farms. *Applied Soil Ecology* Vol. 19, pp. 147–160.

- [36] S. T. Bates, D. Berg-Lyons, J. G. Caporaso, W. A. Walters, R. Knight, and N. Fierer (2011). Examining the global distribution of dominant archaeal populations in soil. *ISME Journal*. Vol. 5, pp. 908–917.
- [37] F. T. de Vries, P. Manning, J. R. B. Tallowin, S. R. Mortimer, E. S. Pilgrim, K. A.Harrison, P.J. Hobbs, H. Quirk, B. Shipley, J. H. C. Cornelissen, J. Kattge, and R. D. Bardgett (2012). Abiotic drivers and plant traits explain landscape-scale patterns in soil microbial communities. *Ecology Letters* Vol. 15, pp. 1230–1239.
- [38] M. Hartmann, B. Frey, J. Mayer, P. Mader, and F. Widmer (2015). Distinct soil microbial diversity under long-term organic and conventional farming. *ISME Journal* Vol. 9, pp. 1177–1194.
- [39] M. Lupatini, G. W. Korthals, M. de Hollander, T. K. Janssens and E. E. Kuramae (2017). Soil microbiome is more heterogeneous in organic than in conventional farming system. *Froniers in Microbiology* Vol. 7, pp. 2064 – 2071.
- [40] F. J. M. O'Brien, M. G. Dumont, J. S. Webb, and G. M. Poppy (2018). Rhizosphere Bacterial Communities Differ According to Fertilizer Regimes and Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Capitata* L.) Harvest Time, but Not Aphid 41. Herbivory. *Frontiers in Microbiology* Vol. 9, pp. 1620.
- [41] D. Johnston-Monje, D. S. Lundberg, G. Lazarovits, V. M. Reis, and M. N. Raizada (2016). Bacterial populations in juvenile maize rhizospheres originate from both seed and soil. *Plant Soil* Vol. 405(1), pp. 337–355.
- [42] W. B. Whitman, D. C. Coleman and W. J. Wiebe (1998). Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. PNAS Vol.95(12), pp. 6578–6583.
- [43] S. Liebner, J. Harder and D. Wagner (2008). Bacterial diversity and community structure in polygonal tundra soils from Samoylov Island, Lena Delta, Siberia. *International Microbiology* Vol. 11(11), pp. 195–202.
- [44] H. Bolhuis, M. S. Cretoiu, and L. J. Stal (2014). Molecular ecology of microbial mats. FEMS Microbiology Ecology Vol. 90, pp. 335–350.
- [45] H. Wei, C. Peng, B. Yang, H. Song, Q. Li, L. Jiang, G. Wei, K. Wang, H. Wang, S. Liu, X. Liu, D. Chen, Y. Li, and M. Wang (2018). Contrasting Soil Bacterial Community, Diversity, and Function in TwoForests in China. *Frontiers in Microbiology* Vol. 9, pp. 1693-1699.
- [46] F. Bastian, L. Bouziri, B.Nicolardot, and L. Ranjard (2009). Impact of wheat straw decomposition on successional patterns of soil microbial community structure. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* Vol. 41, pp. 262–275.
- [47] C. Li, K.Yan, L. Tang, Z. Jia, and Y. Li (2014). Change in deep soil microbial communities due to long-term fertilization. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* Vol. 75, pp. 264–272.
- [48] Y. Yang, N. Wang, X. Guo, Y. Zhang, and B.Ye (2017). Comparative analysis of bacterial community structure in the rhizosphere of maize by high-throughput pyrosequencing. *PLoS ONE* Vol. **12** (5), pp. 1
- [49] K. C. Goldfarb, U. Karaoz, C. A. Hanson, C. A. Santee, M. A. Bradford, K. K. Treseder, M. D. Wallenstein, and E. L. Brodie (2011). Differential growth responses of soil bacterial taxa to carbon substrates of varying chemical recalcitrance. *Frontiers in Microbiology* Vol. 2(1), pp. 94.
- [50] K. B. Adejobi, O. S. Akanbi, O. Ugioro, S. A. Adeosun, I. Mohammed, B. A. Nduka and D. O. Adeniyi (2014). Comparative effects of NPK fertilizer, cowpea pod husk and some tree crops wastes on soil, leaf chemical properties and growth performance of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.). *African Journal of Plant Science* Vol. 8(2), pp. 103-107
- [51] B. F. Idowu and T. O.Oladitan (2016). Influence of Varying Rates of Fertilizers on the Performance of Cacao (*Theobroma cacao*) Seedlings in the Nursery. *International Letters of Natural Sciences* Vol. 58, pp 54-59
- [52] R. S. Olaleye, I. S. Umar, M. A. Ojo, U. S. Mohammed, M. A. Ndanitsa and M. Jagaba (2010). Comparative analysis of the use of organic and inorganic fertilizers by Yam farmers in Shiroro Local Government Area of Niger State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development (JARD)*, Vol.5, pp. 30-41