



Probability Prediction of User Datagram Protocol (UDP) Upstream Throughput in a Network

Ayidu N. Ja* and Elaigwu. O. Va

^aDepartment of Electrical/Electronic Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Benson Idahosa University, Benin City, Nigeria.

*Corresponding Author: jayidu@biu.edu.ng

Article information

Article History

Received 12 April 2022

Revised 21 April 2022

Accepted 4 May 2022

Available online 13 June 2022

Keywords:

Normal distribution, UDPupT, PDF, CDF, SNR, throughput, WLAN



<https://doi.org/10.37933/nipes.e/4.2.2022.2>

<https://nipesjournals.org.ng>

© 2022 NIPES Pub. All rights reserved

Abstract

This work focuses on predicting the expected throughput value of the network at a certain throughput range. A probability model for multiple users' real time data environment was developed for estimating the probability of obtaining UDPupT on the network for different signal ranges. Normal distribution was implemented to obtain a general function for predicting the probability distribution function (PDF) and cumulative distribution function (CDF) for obtaining the different variables. The probability model provides an additional way to predict a particular throughput value and not a range of value, so we can describe the probability of obtaining Signal to noise ratio (SNR) virtually in all the SNR cases is either very high or low. The study shows that the probability model developed from a combined multiple users' data environment for UDP protocol in a WLAN system trusted for estimating UDPupT has a high throughput within the range of 8 to 9.99 Mbps.

1. Introduction

The past few decades have witnessed an explosion in information sharing. Wireless access has played a crucial role in enabling users connect to the internet at any time and from anywhere for the purpose of information sharing [6]. In recent times, unlimited broadband access is now being provided for users using wireless broadband technologies such as Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN). WLAN allows the sharing of data between computers and workstations employing radio frequency technology and IEEE wireless standards [3]. WLAN based on the IEEE802.11 standards is popularly used in computer networks around the world. The IEEE802.11 standards are widely accepted because it offers high speed data rates and low cost of end user equipment.

WLANS can be deployed in homes, schools, university campuses, office buildings etc. to enable users share information easily and efficiently. As WLAN is being deployed in various environment, there is need to monitor the end-to-end communication between the end devices through a WLAN network. [8]

The transport layer from the TCP/IP application which is at the 4th layer of the Open System Interconnection (OSI) model shown in table 1 can be used to access the network. [7]




Table 1: The 7 Layers of the OSI Model. [1]

The OSI model is a very important protocol in networking that allows different system to communicate. The OSI model system can be used as the layered protocol whereby WLAN system can be predicted. For example: In analyzing/predicting the throughput performance of the WLAN system, the transport layer which comprises of Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and User Datagram Protocol (UDP) can be used to predict the network. This protocol is an internet protocol suite used by programs running in different computers, it is used for sending messages called datagram [4].

The TCP is characterized with a reliable data connection while UDP as an unreliable data connection. With TCP, a packet sent from one point is received at the other end in correct order. TCP requires acknowledgment and flow control. TCP is also called a three-way handshake protocol. Considering two computers communicating, one as the sender and the other the receiver, a synchronization packet sent to a receiver computer, is replied with an acknowledgment message informing the sender it has received the message. And finally, the sender computer sends an acknowledgment message back to the receiver. Once this process has taken place data can be delivered. But in the case of UDP it does not establish a connection or guarantee delivery of data. Packets are either lost, duplicated or even received out of order. Though UDP has better speed and is more efficient compared to Transmission Control Protocol for applications that do not require guaranteed delivery of data [9]. UDP uses a simple transmission model also known as a linear model which reduces communication to a process of transmitting information [2]. The communication is essentially point-to-point and its uses the client/server model of communication where a computer requests and is provided a service by another computer in the network [10].

UDP is a suitable protocol for predicting the throughput of the WLAN network. Predictive tools for UDP throughput are rarely available. Thus, the availability of such a model will provide UDP predictive tools, thereby making the network installation process easier and more efficient for UDP applications.

This study seeks to proffer a probability model that predicts the expected throughput value of the network at a certain throughput range. The probability model provides an additional way to predict a particular throughput value and not a range of value, so we can describe the probability of obtaining SNR virtually in all the SNR cases is either very high or low.

2. Methodology

The study was carried out within the University of Benin main campus. This location lies within the coordinates 6.3350⁰N and 5.6037⁰E. Three different environments (open space, hallway, and administrative office building) that can fairly represent an actual networking environment for WLAN users/clients were identified within the campus for this study. These environments were labeled for the purpose of this report as environment 1, 2, and 3 respectively. Though this study is mainly based on environment 1 (open space).

To determine this work, the performance of WLAN in terms of UDP throughput focus on the upstream assessment of TCP/IP layer behavior which was monitored in this work in real-time measurement. The work also considered received signal strength level at the client terminal as an important parameter to measure. The throughput is measured in Mbps while the RSSL is measured in dBm. In this study, both hardware and software tools were used to achieve the field work involving data collection. The software tools used is Tamosoft Throughput Test and inSSIDer version 2.1. The experiment was done using an Access Point (AP) mounted on a pole depending on the environment being used as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Open space (Environment 1)

The AP has a data speed up to 100MHz, operating at 2.4GHz band. It also supports both IEEE 802.11b and g standard. The Ethernet cable used was CAT 5. The AP has an integrated adaptive antenna that enables the network users within its coverage area to receive radio waves. The AP was powered from the 12Vdc output from the power adapter, and connected with a Power over Ethernet (PoE) cable terminated at RJ45 connector. The AP was configured with a private IP address 192.168.1.30. Laptops representing servers and clients were also connected to the network.

2.1 Probability prediction

The probability prediction provides an additional way to predict a particular throughput value and not a range of value, so we can describe the probability of obtaining SNR virtually in all the SNR cases as either very high or low. For example, the network engineers are more interested in the probability that a throughput value falls above or below 10Mbps rather than the probability that is equal to 10Mbps.

In achieving this, the data collected was combined and used to predict the probability of obtaining UDPupT on the network for different signal ranges. Normal distribution was implemented to obtain a general function for predicting the probability distribution function (PDF) and cumulative distribution function (CDF) for obtaining the variable. The mathematical model shown in equation (1) defines the Normal/Gaussian distribution

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (-\infty < x < +\infty) \quad (1)$$

where σ is the standard deviation of the population, μ = population mean, x = variable (UDPupT) been measured. $F(x)$ = probability distribution function of obtaining x Mbps.

Table 2 shows the combined environment of the variable (UDPupT) data statistical parameter. This was obtained and inserted into equation 1 by using standard deviation and mean values for the different categories of SNR to obtain the probability density function model. Equation (1) estimates the probability of obtaining a specific value of x Mbps and not a range of values. This has little practical significance due to the fact that what is more realistic for network engineers is an estimation of a range of x Mbps values obtainable. This leads to the evaluation of the cumulative distribution function (CDF) in terms of standard units where the throughput is never negative but always at the range from zero to infinity ($0 \leq x \leq \infty$). In order to achieve this, equation (1) is thus transformed to a standard normal form shown in equation (2)

$$f(Z) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1(Z)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (-\infty < x < +\infty) \quad (2)$$

The normally distributed random variable is standardized for researchers to determine with ease the probability associated with a range of values of that variable by using a standardized distribution table shown in Appendix A. The standardize value of the normally distributed random variable is called Z score and is calculated using the formula shown in Equation (3)

$$\text{Where } Z = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \quad (3)$$

where σ is the standard deviation of the population, μ = population mean, x = variable (UDPupT) being measured. $F(x)$ = probability distribution function of obtaining x Mbps.

The equation is standardized by subtracting the population mean of the distribution from the variable and then divide the difference by the standard deviation of the distribution

3. Results and Discussion

This section describes the probability of obtaining the variable for different ranges from which the statistical parameter as shown in Table 2, where the mean and standard deviation are inserted into the CDF for a combined environment of UDPupT shown in equation (4).

The value considered for Z can be obtained as UDPupT

$$Z = \frac{x - 7.92}{2.265} \quad (4)$$

According to Ify (2011) cumulative distribution function (CDF)

$$F(Z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^Z e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du \quad (5)$$

For any value of Z score in equation (4), the CDF can be found from the standard normal distribution Table in the Appendix A.

Table 2: UDP_{up}T CDF Probability Model Values all environments combined (Multiple Users)

UDP _{up} T (Mbps)	Statistical Parameter	CDF Probability model value from the Z table
>12	Probability	0.0359
10-11.99	Probability	0.1429
8-9.99	Probability	0.3372
6-7.99	Probability	0.2808
4-5.99	Probability	0.1615
2-3.99	Probability	0.0373
0-1.99	Probability	0.0044

Table 2 represent the unadjusted CDF probability model values using Z tables in order to accurately predict the probability of obtaining UDP_{up}T for different signal ranges in the multiple users' environment.

The probability prediction from the combined multiple users' environment can be relied on for estimating UDPupT, this has a high throughput within the range of 8-9.99 Mbps as shown in Table 2 which shows that the probability of obtaining a high throughput of about 10Mbps is high within the range of 0.34. However, the prediction describes adequately the behavior of UDP in this mode of operation.

4. Conclusion

The probability model predicts what the empirical model cannot predict (variables with no correlation). For example, it provides a throughput prediction that the throughput value can either fall above or below 10Mbps rather than the probability that is equal to 10Mbps. In this work, the probability prediction shows that a WLAN system can be relied on using UDP as the transport

layered protocol. Thus, the need for more predictive tools while carrying out network design and installation to achieve better customer's satisfaction is the core aim of this work.

List of Abbreviations

AP	Access point
CDF	Cumulative distribution function Probability
IEEE	Institute of Electrical Electronic Engineering
IP	Internet Protocol
<i>Mbps</i>	<i>Megabits per second</i>
PDF	Probability density function
PoE	Power over Ethernet
RSSS	Received Signal Strength Level
SNR	Signal to noise ratio
TCP	Transmission control protocol
UDP _{up} T	User Datagram Protocol Upstream throughput
Wi-Fi	Wireless fidelity
WLANs	Wireless local area networks

References

- [1] Bora G., Bora S., Singh S. and Arsalan S.M. (2014), "OSI Reference model: An overview" International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology (IJCTT)-volume 7 number 4. Available at <http://www.ijcttjournal.org>
- [2] Chandler D. (2014). "The Transmission Model of Communication". (<https://www.scribd.com>)
- [3] Hanafi A.O. and Ahmed B.I., (2019) "Analysis of Wireless Local Area Network Security: A Case Study of International Radio Monitoring Station (IRMS)-Gusau Wireless LAN" Nigerian Journal of Scientific Research Volume 18, Issue 4. Pp 396-403.
- [4] Henty B.E., (2001). "Throughput Measurements and Empirical Prediction Models for IEEE802.11b Wireless LAN (WLAN) Installations". Masters of Science in Electrical Engineering Thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, and State University.
- [5] IfyL.N. (2011) Probability and stability for science and Engineering practice. University of Port Harcourt press ISBN 978-8137-33-4
- [6] Kaveh P. and Prashant K., (2021) "Evolution and Impact of Wi-Fi Technology and Applications: A Historical Perspective" International Journal of Wireless Information Networks. 28 pp 3-19
- [7] Shreya G. and Vinit K., (2015) "Base of the Network Protocol- TCP/IP; its Design and Security Aspects. International journal of innovative in computer and communication Engineering. Vol.3, issue 4.
- [8] Margaret R., (2015) "User Datagram Protocol (UDP)" <http://www.searchsoa.techtarget.com/definition/UDP>
- [9] Patil V. P (2012), "Effect of Traffic Pattern on Packet Delivery Ratio in Reactive Routing Protocol of Manet", Indira Gandhi College of Engineering, New Mumbai, India.IOSR Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering (IOSRJECE) ISSN: 2278-2834 Volume 2, Issue 2. <http://www.pdf.semanticscholar.org>
- [10] Pranah B. N. and Mofiz U., (2015) " TCP/IP Model in Data Communication and Networking", American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER). Volume 4, Issue 10. pp 102-107

Appendix

Standard Normal Probabilities




Table entry for z is the area under the standard normal curve to the left of z .

z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
0.0	.5000	.5040	.5080	.5120	.5160	.5199	.5239	.5279	.5319	.5359
0.1	.5398	.5438	.5478	.5517	.5557	.5596	.5636	.5675	.5714	.5753
0.2	.5793	.5832	.5871	.5910	.5948	.5987	.6026	.6064	.6103	.6141
0.3	.6179	.6217	.6255	.6293	.6331	.6368	.6406	.6443	.6480	.6517
0.4	.6554	.6591	.6628	.6664	.6700	.6736	.6772	.6808	.6844	.6879
0.5	.6915	.6950	.6985	.7019	.7054	.7088	.7123	.7157	.7190	.7224
0.6	.7257	.7291	.7324	.7357	.7389	.7422	.7454	.7486	.7517	.7549
0.7	.7580	.7611	.7642	.7673	.7704	.7734	.7764	.7794	.7823	.7852
0.8	.7881	.7910	.7939	.7967	.7995	.8023	.8051	.8078	.8106	.8133
0.9	.8159	.8186	.8212	.8238	.8264	.8289	.8315	.8340	.8365	.8389
1.0	.8413	.8438	.8461	.8485	.8508	.8531	.8554	.8577	.8599	.8621
1.1	.8643	.8665	.8686	.8708	.8729	.8749	.8770	.8790	.8810	.8830
1.2	.8849	.8869	.8888	.8907	.8925	.8944	.8962	.8980	.8997	.9015
1.3	.9032	.9049	.9066	.9082	.9099	.9115	.9131	.9147	.9162	.9177
1.4	.9192	.9207	.9222	.9236	.9251	.9265	.9279	.9292	.9306	.9319
1.5	.9332	.9345	.9357	.9370	.9382	.9394	.9406	.9418	.9429	.9441
1.6	.9452	.9463	.9474	.9484	.9495	.9505	.9515	.9525	.9535	.9545
1.7	.9554	.9564	.9573	.9582	.9591	.9599	.9608	.9616	.9625	.9633
1.8	.9641	.9649	.9656	.9664	.9671	.9678	.9686	.9693	.9699	.9706
1.9	.9713	.9719	.9726	.9732	.9738	.9744	.9750	.9756	.9761	.9767
2.0	.9772	.9778	.9783	.9788	.9793	.9798	.9803	.9808	.9812	.9817
2.1	.9821	.9826	.9830	.9834	.9838	.9842	.9846	.9850	.9854	.9857
2.2	.9861	.9864	.9868	.9871	.9875	.9878	.9881	.9884	.9887	.9890
2.3	.9893	.9896	.9898	.9901	.9904	.9906	.9909	.9911	.9913	.9916
2.4	.9918	.9920	.9922	.9925	.9927	.9929	.9931	.9932	.9934	.9936
2.5	.9938	.9940	.9941	.9943	.9945	.9946	.9948	.9949	.9951	.9952
2.6	.9953	.9955	.9956	.9957	.9959	.9960	.9961	.9962	.9963	.9964
2.7	.9965	.9966	.9967	.9968	.9969	.9970	.9971	.9972	.9973	.9974
2.8	.9974	.9975	.9976	.9977	.9977	.9978	.9979	.9979	.9980	.9981
2.9	.9981	.9982	.9982	.9983	.9984	.9984	.9985	.9985	.9986	.9986
3.0	.9987	.9987	.9987	.9988	.9988	.9989	.9989	.9989	.9990	.9990
3.1	.9990	.9991	.9991	.9991	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9993	.9993
3.2	.9993	.9993	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9995	.9995	.9995
3.3	.9995	.9995	.9995	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9997
3.4	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9998

Standard Normal Probabilities




Table entry for z is the area under the standard normal curve to the left of z .

z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
-3.4	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0002
-3.3	.0005	.0005	.0005	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0003
-3.2	.0007	.0007	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0005	.0005	.0005
-3.1	.0010	.0009	.0009	.0009	.0008	.0008	.0008	.0008	.0007	.0007
-3.0	.0013	.0013	.0013	.0012	.0012	.0011	.0011	.0011	.0010	.0010
-2.9	.0019	.0018	.0018	.0017	.0016	.0016	.0015	.0015	.0014	.0014
-2.8	.0026	.0025	.0024	.0023	.0023	.0022	.0021	.0021	.0020	.0019
-2.7	.0035	.0034	.0033	.0032	.0031	.0030	.0029	.0028	.0027	.0026
-2.6	.0047	.0045	.0044	.0043	.0041	.0040	.0039	.0038	.0037	.0036
-2.5	.0062	.0060	.0059	.0057	.0055	.0054	.0052	.0051	.0049	.0048
-2.4	.0082	.0080	.0078	.0075	.0073	.0071	.0069	.0068	.0066	.0064
-2.3	.0107	.0104	.0102	.0099	.0096	.0094	.0091	.0089	.0087	.0084
-2.2	.0139	.0136	.0132	.0129	.0125	.0122	.0119	.0116	.0113	.0110
-2.1	.0179	.0174	.0170	.0166	.0162	.0158	.0154	.0150	.0146	.0143
-2.0	.0228	.0222	.0217	.0212	.0207	.0202	.0197	.0192	.0188	.0183
-1.9	.0287	.0281	.0274	.0268	.0262	.0256	.0250	.0244	.0239	.0233
-1.8	.0359	.0351	.0344	.0336	.0329	.0322	.0314	.0307	.0301	.0294
-1.7	.0446	.0436	.0427	.0418	.0409	.0401	.0392	.0384	.0375	.0367
-1.6	.0548	.0537	.0526	.0516	.0505	.0495	.0485	.0475	.0465	.0455
-1.5	.0668	.0655	.0643	.0630	.0618	.0606	.0594	.0582	.0571	.0559
-1.4	.0808	.0793	.0778	.0764	.0749	.0735	.0721	.0708	.0694	.0681
-1.3	.0968	.0951	.0934	.0918	.0901	.0885	.0869	.0853	.0838	.0823
-1.2	.1151	.1131	.1112	.1093	.1075	.1056	.1038	.1020	.1003	.0985
-1.1	.1357	.1335	.1314	.1292	.1271	.1251	.1230	.1210	.1190	.1170
-1.0	.1587	.1562	.1539	.1515	.1492	.1469	.1446	.1423	.1401	.1379
-0.9	.1841	.1814	.1788	.1762	.1736	.1711	.1685	.1660	.1635	.1611
-0.8	.2119	.2090	.2061	.2033	.2005	.1977	.1949	.1922	.1894	.1867
-0.7	.2420	.2389	.2358	.2327	.2296	.2266	.2236	.2206	.2177	.2148
-0.6	.2743	.2709	.2676	.2643	.2611	.2578	.2546	.2514	.2483	.2451
-0.5	.3085	.3050	.3015	.2981	.2946	.2912	.2877	.2843	.2810	.2776
-0.4	.3446	.3409	.3372	.3336	.3300	.3264	.3228	.3192	.3156	.3121
-0.3	.3821	.3783	.3745	.3707	.3669	.3632	.3594	.3557	.3520	.3483
-0.2	.4207	.4168	.4129	.4090	.4052	.4013	.3974	.3936	.3897	.3859
-0.1	.4602	.4562	.4522	.4483	.4443	.4404	.4364	.4325	.4286	.4247
-0.0	.5000	.4960	.4920	.4880	.4840	.4801	.4761	.4721	.4681	.4641

STANDARD NORMAL DISTRIBUTION: Table Values Represent AREA to the LEFT of the Z score.

Z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
0.0	.50000	.50399	.50798	.51197	.51595	.51994	.52392	.52790	.53188	.53586
0.1	.53983	.54380	.54776	.55172	.55567	.55962	.56356	.56749	.57142	.57535
0.2	.57926	.58317	.58706	.59095	.59483	.59871	.60257	.60642	.61026	.61409
0.3	.61791	.62172	.62552	.62930	.63307	.63683	.64058	.64431	.64803	.65173
0.4	.65542	.65910	.66276	.66640	.67003	.67364	.67724	.68082	.68439	.68793
0.5	.69146	.69497	.69847	.70194	.70540	.70884	.71226	.71566	.71904	.72240
0.6	.72575	.72907	.73237	.73565	.73891	.74215	.74537	.74857	.75175	.75490
0.7	.75804	.76115	.76424	.76730	.77035	.77337	.77637	.77935	.78230	.78524
0.8	.78814	.79103	.79389	.79673	.79955	.80234	.80511	.80785	.81057	.81327
0.9	.81594	.81859	.82121	.82381	.82639	.82894	.83147	.83398	.83646	.83891
1.0	.84134	.84375	.84614	.84849	.85083	.85314	.85543	.85769	.85993	.86214
1.1	.86433	.86650	.86864	.87076	.87286	.87493	.87698	.87900	.88100	.88298
1.2	.88493	.88686	.88877	.89065	.89251	.89435	.89617	.89796	.89973	.90147
1.3	.90320	.90490	.90658	.90824	.90988	.91149	.91309	.91466	.91621	.91774
1.4	.91924	.92073	.92220	.92364	.92507	.92647	.92785	.92922	.93056	.93189
1.5	.93319	.93448	.93574	.93699	.93822	.93943	.94062	.94179	.94295	.94408
1.6	.94520	.94630	.94738	.94845	.94950	.95053	.95154	.95254	.95352	.95449
1.7	.95543	.95637	.95728	.95818	.95907	.95994	.96080	.96164	.96246	.96327
1.8	.96407	.96485	.96562	.96638	.96712	.96784	.96856	.96926	.96995	.97062
1.9	.97128	.97193	.97257	.97320	.97381	.97441	.97500	.97558	.97615	.97670
2.0	.97725	.97778	.97831	.97882	.97932	.97982	.98030	.98077	.98124	.98169
2.1	.98214	.98257	.98300	.98341	.98382	.98422	.98461	.98500	.98537	.98574
2.2	.98610	.98645	.98679	.98713	.98745	.98778	.98809	.98840	.98870	.98899
2.3	.98928	.98956	.98983	.99010	.99036	.99061	.99086	.99111	.99134	.99158
2.4	.99180	.99202	.99224	.99245	.99266	.99286	.99305	.99324	.99343	.99361
2.5	.99379	.99396	.99413	.99430	.99446	.99461	.99477	.99492	.99506	.99520
2.6	.99534	.99547	.99560	.99573	.99585	.99598	.99609	.99621	.99632	.99643
2.7	.99653	.99664	.99674	.99683	.99693	.99702	.99711	.99720	.99728	.99736
2.8	.99744	.99752	.99760	.99767	.99774	.99781	.99788	.99795	.99801	.99807
2.9	.99813	.99819	.99825	.99831	.99836	.99841	.99846	.99851	.99856	.99861
3.0	.99865	.99869	.99874	.99878	.99882	.99886	.99889	.99893	.99896	.99900
3.1	.99903	.99906	.99910	.99913	.99916	.99918	.99921	.99924	.99926	.99929
3.2	.99931	.99934	.99936	.99938	.99940	.99942	.99944	.99946	.99948	.99950
3.3	.99952	.99953	.99955	.99957	.99958	.99960	.99961	.99962	.99964	.99965
3.4	.99966	.99968	.99969	.99970	.99971	.99972	.99973	.99974	.99975	.99976
3.5	.99977	.99978	.99978	.99979	.99980	.99981	.99981	.99982	.99983	.99983
3.6	.99984	.99985	.99985	.99986	.99986	.99987	.99987	.99988	.99988	.99989
3.7	.99989	.99990	.99990	.99990	.99991	.99991	.99992	.99992	.99992	.99992
3.8	.99993	.99993	.99993	.99994	.99994	.99994	.99994	.99995	.99995	.99995
3.9	.99995	.99995	.99996	.99996	.99996	.99996	.99996	.99996	.99997	.99997

STANDARD NORMAL DISTRIBUTION: Table Values Represent AREA to the LEFT of the Z score.

Z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
-3.9	.00005	.00005	.00004	.00004	.00004	.00004	.00004	.00004	.00003	.00003
-3.8	.00007	.00007	.00007	.00006	.00006	.00006	.00006	.00005	.00005	.00005
-3.7	.00011	.00010	.00010	.00010	.00009	.00009	.00008	.00008	.00008	.00008
-3.6	.00016	.00015	.00015	.00014	.00014	.00013	.00013	.00012	.00012	.00011
-3.5	.00023	.00022	.00022	.00021	.00020	.00019	.00019	.00018	.00017	.00017
-3.4	.00034	.00032	.00031	.00030	.00029	.00028	.00027	.00026	.00025	.00024
-3.3	.00048	.00047	.00045	.00043	.00042	.00040	.00039	.00038	.00036	.00035
-3.2	.00069	.00066	.00064	.00062	.00060	.00058	.00056	.00054	.00052	.00050
-3.1	.00097	.00094	.00090	.00087	.00084	.00082	.00079	.00076	.00074	.00071
-3.0	.00135	.00131	.00126	.00122	.00118	.00114	.00111	.00107	.00104	.00100
-2.9	.00187	.00181	.00175	.00169	.00164	.00159	.00154	.00149	.00144	.00139
-2.8	.00256	.00248	.00240	.00233	.00226	.00219	.00212	.00205	.00199	.00193
-2.7	.00347	.00336	.00326	.00317	.00307	.00298	.00289	.00280	.00272	.00264
-2.6	.00466	.00453	.00440	.00427	.00415	.00402	.00391	.00379	.00368	.00357
-2.5	.00621	.00604	.00587	.00570	.00554	.00539	.00523	.00508	.00494	.00480
-2.4	.00820	.00798	.00776	.00755	.00734	.00714	.00695	.00676	.00657	.00639
-2.3	.01072	.01044	.01017	.00990	.00964	.00939	.00914	.00889	.00866	.00842
-2.2	.01390	.01355	.01321	.01287	.01255	.01222	.01191	.01160	.01130	.01101
-2.1	.01786	.01743	.01700	.01659	.01618	.01578	.01539	.01500	.01463	.01426
-2.0	.02275	.02222	.02169	.02118	.02068	.02018	.01970	.01923	.01876	.01831
-1.9	.02872	.02807	.02743	.02680	.02619	.02559	.02500	.02442	.02385	.02330
-1.8	.03593	.03515	.03438	.03362	.03288	.03216	.03144	.03074	.03005	.02938
-1.7	.04457	.04363	.04272	.04182	.04093	.04006	.03920	.03836	.03754	.03673
-1.6	.05480	.05370	.05262	.05155	.05050	.04947	.04846	.04746	.04648	.04551
-1.5	.06681	.06552	.06426	.06301	.06178	.06057	.05938	.05821	.05705	.05592
-1.4	.08076	.07927	.07780	.07636	.07493	.07353	.07215	.07078	.06944	.06811
-1.3	.09680	.09510	.09342	.09176	.09012	.08851	.08691	.08534	.08379	.08226
-1.2	.11507	.11314	.11123	.10935	.10749	.10565	.10383	.10204	.10027	.09853
-1.1	.13567	.13350	.13136	.12924	.12714	.12507	.12302	.12100	.11900	.11702
-1.0	.15866	.15625	.15386	.15151	.14917	.14686	.14457	.14231	.14007	.13786
-0.9	.18406	.18141	.17879	.17619	.17361	.17106	.16853	.16602	.16354	.16109
-0.8	.21186	.20897	.20611	.20327	.20045	.19766	.19489	.19215	.18943	.18673
-0.7	.24196	.23885	.23576	.23270	.22965	.22663	.22363	.22065	.21770	.21476
-0.6	.27425	.27093	.26763	.26435	.26109	.25785	.25463	.25143	.24825	.24510
-0.5	.30854	.30503	.30153	.29806	.29460	.29116	.28774	.28434	.28096	.27760
-0.4	.34458	.34090	.33724	.33360	.32997	.32636	.32276	.31918	.31561	.31207
-0.3	.38209	.37828	.37448	.37070	.36693	.36317	.35942	.35569	.35197	.34827
-0.2	.42074	.41683	.41294	.40905	.40517	.40129	.39743	.39358	.38974	.38591
-0.1	.46017	.45620	.45224	.44828	.44433	.44038	.43644	.43251	.42858	.42465
-0.0	.50000	.49601	.49202	.48803	.48405	.48006	.47608	.47210	.46812	.46414